

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/847,165	05/01/2001	David A. Atkinson	LTI-PI-355	LTI-PI-355 5640	
7590 02/22/2005			EXAMINER		
Alan D. Kirsch			GURZO, PAUL M		
Bechtel BWXT	idaho, LLC				
P.O. Box 1625			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3899			2881		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/847,165		ATKINSON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Paul Gurzo		2881					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a prior of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statutor iod will apply and will e atute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31								
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 79-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 90-98 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 79-89 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be i	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been i ents have been i priority document reau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications as have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/847,165

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 79-89 in the reply filed on 1/31/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 79-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrien, Jr. et al. (6,326,616).

Regarding claim 79, 616 teaches an ionization source comprising a sample inlet (9), an electrically conductive conduit (3 and 8) coupled to the sample inlet, the conduit comprising a first and second end configured to receive the sample from the inlet and discharge the sample from the conduit (col. 6, line 39 - col. 7, line 4 and Fig. 1). They also teach an electrically conductive reference device (4) positioned proximate the second end of the conduit and a mass analyzer employed in the spectrometer (col. 12, lines 60-61 and claims 14 and 15). They do not explicitly teach ionization within the ionization area between the reference device and the conduit. However, they teach that ionization occurs in a chamber according to Fig. 8, reference 210. While this may be viewed as a slightly different embodiment, the teaching that ionization happens in a "chamber" holds for Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, the ionization must occur in the area between the reference device and conduit. Because a potential is applied to the reference device and conduit (col. 6, line 39)

Application/Control Number: 09/847,165

Art Unit: 2881

- col. 7, line 4) ionization will occur in that area. Further, Applicant admits that ions are formed via electric fields from voltages applied to the reference device (4) and conduit (3 and 8) (See arguments dated 11/15/04, page 6, paragraph 1). Therefore, the application of a voltage causes ion formation because the ions are ionized in the area between the reference device and conduit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to ionize the droplets in the area between the reference device and conduit because desired voltages can be applied to ensure adequate ion formation in an efficient manner.

Regarding claims 80-82, Fig. 1 clearly depicts the claimed locations and they teach a sweep gas (5), and Fig. 1 depicts numerous grooves in the reference device that will obviously allow for removing the sweep gas from the ionization area (col. 6, lines 15-18).

Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrien, Jr. et al. (6,326,616) in view of Kamo et al. (4,028,617).

While it is known that proper working order will only be achieved through accurate placement of the reference device, the above-applied art is silent to the claimed Paschen distance. However, 617 teaches that the spark discharge that arises between the gap of the two electrodes conforms with Paschen's Law (col. 1, lines 27-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the reference device at a distance greater than Paschen's distance so that the proper potential can be maintained.

Art Unit: 2881

Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrien, Jr. et al. (6,326,616) in view of Wesley (4,458,153), and further in view of Liang et al. (5,081,397).

The above-applied art does not state the claimed metal, but 397 teaches the use of stainless steel electrodes (12) (col. 6, lines 65-66, and Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use stainless steel to reduce undesired effects of arcing.

Claims 85-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrien, Jr. et al. (6,326,616) in view of Spangler (6,407,382).

Regarding claims 85-87 and 89, 616 teaches the use of a potential generating means capable of maintaining the desired potential of the electrodes (col. 6, line 39 -col. 7, line 4), but they do not teach an electrical circuit to achieve these results. However, 382 teaches a solid-state circuitry for operation as well as a transistor switch to adjust the potential. The discharge is powered by a high voltage power supply (Abstract and col. 7, line 59 - col. 8, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an electrical circuit because it achieves much the same result as the prior art and is merely a design choice.

Regarding claim 88, 616 teaches electrically grounding the conduit (col. 6, lines 55-64), and 382 teaches that the cathode is connected to the low side of the potential, which serves as a floating ground (col. 4, lines 17-20). 382 also teaches that the electrodes may be rings or grids (col. 8, lines 34-36).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PMG